

Viking CCS Pipeline

8.10 Draft Statement of Common Ground – Historic England

Document Reference: EN070008/EXAM/8.10

Applicant: Chrysaor Production (U.K.) Limited,
a Harbour Energy Company
PINS Reference: EN070008
Planning Act 2008 (as amended)
The Infrastructure Planning (Applications: Prescribed Forms
and Procedure) Regulations 2009 - Regulation 5(2)(q)
Date: April 2024

PINS Reference	Document Reference	Document Revision	Date
EN070008	EN070008/EXAM/8.10	Version 1	April 2024

Prepared by	Verified by	Approved by
NW	MW	NP
Principal EIA Consultant	EIA Technical Director	EIA Technical Director

Prepared by:

AECOM Limited
 Exchange Station
 Tithebarn Street
 Liverpool
 Merseyside
 L2 2QP

This Draft Statement of Common Ground has been agreed between Chrysaor Production (UK) Limited and Historic England on the day specified below.

Signed:

Print Name:

Job Title:

Date:

Duly Authorised for and on behalf of Historic England

Signed:

Print Name:

Job Title:

Date:

Duly Authorised for and on behalf of Chrysaor Production (UK) Limited

Table of Contents

1	Introduction.....	1
1.1	Overview.....	1
1.2	The Role of Historic England.....	1
1.3	Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground.....	1
1.4	Status of this Statement of Common Ground.....	1
2	Summary of Consultation and Discussions.....	2
3	Position of the Parties.....	4
4	References.....	8

Tables

Table 2-1	Record of meetings and correspondence with Historic England.....	2
Table 3-1	Position of the Parties.....	5

1 Introduction

1.1 Overview

- 1.1.1 This Statement of Common Ground (SoCG) has been prepared by Chrysaor Production (UK) Ltd (the 'Applicant') in conjunction with Historic England in respect of the Viking CCS Pipeline project (the 'Proposed Development').
- 1.1.2 The SoCG sets out the matters of agreement between the Applicant and Historic England and also explains those matters which, at the time of writing, remain unresolved between the parties. The agreements to date have been reached through consultation and continuing discussions between the parties through online meetings.

1.2 The Role of Historic England

- 1.2.1 The Historic Buildings and Monuments Commission for England (HBMCE) is better known as Historic England, and we are the Government's adviser on all aspects of the historic environment in England, including historic buildings and areas, archaeology and historic landscapes. We have a duty to promote conservation, public understanding and enjoyment of the historic environment. We are an executive Non-Departmental public body and we answer to Parliament through the Secretary of State for Culture, Media and Sport (DCMS).

1.3 Purpose of this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.3.1 The purpose of this document is to summarise the agreements reached between the parties on matters relevant to the Examination of the Application and to assist the Examining Authority ('ExA'). It also explains the matters which remain unresolved at the time of writing, but which both parties are working positively toward resolving. As such, it is expected that further iterations of the SoCG will be submitted to the ExA throughout the Examination and prior to the making of any Development Consent Order ('DCO') for the Proposed Development.
- 1.3.2 The SoCG has been prepared with regard to the guidance in 'Planning Act 2008: examination of application for development consent' (Department for Communities and Local Government, March 2015).
- 1.3.3 The remainder of this SoCG is structured as follows:
- Section 2 – Summary of consultation and discussions; and
 - Section 3 - Position of the parties

1.4 Status of this Statement of Common Ground

- 1.4.1 This SoCG is currently in draft form.

2 Summary of Consultation and Discussions

Introduction

2.1.1 In addition to the consultation undertaken as part of statutory consultation, there have been a number of meetings and correspondence relating to the Proposed Development. Details of various meetings and key correspondence are set out in Table 2-1 Record of meetings and correspondence with Historic England. Table 2-1 below.

Table 2-1 Record of meetings and correspondence with Historic England.

Prescribed body	Date of meeting/ correspondence	Description of meeting
Historic England	08 February 2023	<p>Heritage meeting to introduce the scheme and Historic Environment Assessment. Discussion of study areas, non-intrusive surveys, and intrusive surveys.</p> <p>Feedback from the meeting noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Agreement that specialist study of aerial photographs and LiDAR data would be helpful. • Feedback that a 2km study area either side of the route is preferable and discussion around a 5km study area for designated assets. • Agreement on a risk-based approach to field evaluation.
	08 March 2023	<p>Heritage meeting to update on the scheme design, Aerial Photographic and LiDAR Assessment, Geophysical Surveys, and Trial Trench Evaluation Strategy. Results of ariel photos at Tetney were shared.</p> <p>Feedback from the meeting noted:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Recommendation made for both options at the Phillips 66 site to be taken forward to DCO. • Discussion of notable sites discoveries took place and review of all areas within a 50m width. • Agreement was made on a staged approach to trial trenching.
	19 April 2023	<p>Heritage meeting to update on Aerial Photographic and LiDAR Assessment, Geophysical Surveys, and Trial Trench Evaluation Strategy.</p> <p>Feedback from the meeting noted that:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Latest alignment as a GIS shape file was

Prescribed body	Date of meeting/ correspondence	Description of meeting
		requested. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Discussion took place around geophysical survey techniques for Anglo-Saxon cemeteries.
	17 May 2023	Heritage meeting to update on Aerial Photographic and LiDAR Assessment, Geophysical Surveys, Trial Trench Evaluation WSI, and Environmental Impact Assessment.
	Ongoing email engagement	Project overview and updates on changes to the route proposals. Discussion of GIS figures and information on archaeology at Tetney gravel barrow.

3 Position of the Parties

3.1.1 Table 3-1 sets out the position of the parties relating to the following topics:

- Historic buildings and areas, archaeology and historic landscapes. Principal documents of relevance are:
 - Chapter 8 Historic Environment
 - Appendix 8-1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment
 - Appendix 8-2: Aerial Review and LiDAR
 - Appendix 8-3: WSI for Archaeological Evaluation

3.1.2 To provide clarity, each of the matters for which a position has been attributed have been colour coded as follows:

Agreed	The matter is agreed between the parties, or there is no significant disagreement such that the matter is considered closed.
Not agreed - no material impact	The matter is not agreed between the parties; however, the outcome of the approach taken by the Applicant or Historic England is not considered to result in a material impact to the assessment conclusions. Discussions on this matter have concluded.
In discussion	This matter is neither 'agreed' or 'not agreed'. Technical work is being undertaken with the aim of achieving agreement, though the risk of disagreement remains.
Not agreed	The matter is not agreed between the parties and the outcome of the approach taken by the Applicant or Historic England is considered to result in a materially different impact to the assessment conclusions.

Table 3-1 Position of the Parties

ID	Matter	Detail	Related documents and their references	Comments from the Parties	Position
Consultation					
HE01	Consultation process	The pre-application engagement undertaken by the applicant has been proactive and professional and accords with the Statement of Community Consultation (SoCC).	Appendix E to the Consultation Report [APP-039] providing the Statutory Consultation Responses.	Historic England: Applicant:	In Discussion
Policy and Legislation					
HE02	Policy and Legislation	The relevant national policies and appropriate legislative framework with respect to matters relating to Historic Environment duties have been accurately reported.	PDAS Section 7.22 [APP-129] ES Chapter 8: Historic Environment [APP-050]	Historic England: Applicant:	In Discussion
DCO Process					
HE03	Draft Development Consent Order	The wording of the DCO and its Schedules, including Requirements are appropriate.	Draft DCO [AS-008]	Historic England: Applicant:	In Discussion
Chapter 8 Historic Environment					
HE04	Assessment Methodology	The approach used for the assessment for the Historic Environment (chapter 8) reported in the Environmental Statement [APP-050] follows standard best practice. The baseline data, survey methods and assessment methodology used are appropriate and follow standard guidelines.	PDAS Section 7.22 [APP-129] ES Chapter 8: Historic Environment [APP-050]	Historic England: Applicant: The methodology for the assessment of Historic Environment is considered appropriate and includes the necessary receptors and baseline data.	In Discussion
HE05	Data collection, methods, baseline data and the identification and sensitivity of relevant features and receptors		PDAS Section 7.22 [APP-129] ES Chapter 8: Historic Environment [APP-050]	Historic England: Applicant: The applicant considers that a robust assessment has been carried out of the potential impacts of the Proposed Development.	In Discussion
HE06	Assessment findings	The findings of the Potential Impacts and Assessment of Effects in chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050] of the Environmental Statement are suitable and appropriate.	Chapter 8 Historic Environment [APP-050]	Historic England: Applicant: .	In Discussion

ID	Matter	Detail	Related documents and their references	Comments from the Parties	Position
		Chapter 8 Historic Environment [APP-050] presents an assessment of the likely significant effects of the proposed development on the historic environment and concludes that the operation of the Theddlethorpe Facility (option 2) would result in a significant adverse effect on the setting of one designated heritage asset (the grade II listed Ashleigh Farm). The assessment concludes that this effect would occur during the operational lifetime of the Proposed Development and that decommissioning of the Theddlethorpe Facility would reverse this effect.			
HE07	Mitigation	The Additional Mitigation and Enhancement Measures set out in chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050] of the Environmental Statement are suitable and appropriate.	Chapter 8 Historic Environment [APP-050]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: Agreed</p>	In Discussion
HE08	Securing mitigation	All relevant mitigation measures are specified in chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050]. This includes the preparation and implementation of a Written Scheme of Investigation (WSI) for an archaeological ground investigation to confirm the presence or absence of buried archaeology.	Chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050]	<p>Historic England: Our discussions continue as work progresses; it will be important to make best use of the time between now and determination to maximise understanding and information through targeted intrusive archaeological investigations. Where possible focussing first on areas of greatest archaeological and engineering risk.</p> <p>Historic England request a robust and timely programme of archaeological investigation so that where possible impacts upon buried remains can be avoided. Or if not avoidable then archaeological mitigation can be planned and budgeted for in an efficient and effective manner leading to the optimum return of information and understanding in the public interest.</p> <p>Applicant: Agreed. The Applicant look forwards to further discussions on archaeological investigations.</p>	In Discussion
HE09		The proposed mitigation is considered to be appropriate and is secured by requirement 10 of the DCO [AS-008] which requires a written scheme of investigation to be prepared and implemented for areas of archaeological interest.	Draft DCO [AS-008]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: .</p>	In Discussion
HE10		The additional mitigation outlined in chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050] are secured through the draft Construction Environmental Management Plan (CEMP) [APP-068]. The need to prepare the CEMP is secured by Requirement	Construction Environmental Management Plan. [APP-068]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: .</p>	In Discussion

ID	Matter	Detail	Related documents and their references	Comments from the Parties	Position
		5 of the DCO [AS-008] which requires that the CEMP must be prepared and approved before commencement of development.	Draft DCO [AS-008]		
HE11	Assessment findings	The Residual Effects and Cumulative Effects set out in chapter 8 – Historic Environment of the Environmental Statement are appropriate to the application of the assessment methodology.	Chapter 8 – Historic Environment [APP-050]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: Agreed</p>	In Discussion
Appendix 8-1: Historic Environment Desk Based Assessment					
HE12	Desk Based Assessment	The historic environment desk-based assessment reported in the Environmental Statement [APP-089] follows standard best practice.	Appendix 8-1 – Desk Based Assessment [APP-089]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant:</p>	In Discussion
Appendix 8-2: Aerial Review and LiDAR					
HE13	Aerial Review and LiDAR	The assessment of airborne remote sensing and satellite imagery data over the DCO Site Boundary as reported in Appendix 8-2 [APP-090] follows standard best practice.	Appendix 8-2 – Aerial Review and LiDAR [APP-90]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: Agreed</p>	In Discussion
Appendix 8-3: WSI for Archaeological Evaluation					
HE14	WSI for Archaeological Evaluation	<p>The archaeological evaluation strategy proposed will comprise four principal approaches and includes:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Earthwork survey; • Metal detector survey; • Archaeological trial trenching; and • Geoarchaeological investigation. <p>The scope of the archaeological evaluation and monitoring proposed (Appendix 8-3 [APP-091]) are appropriate and follow standard guidelines.</p>	Appendix 8-3 – Desk Based Assessment [APP-091]	<p>Historic England:</p> <p>Applicant: The methodology for the assessment of Historic Environment is considered appropriate and includes the necessary receptors and baseline data.</p>	In Discussion

4 References

Ref 4-1 (*Author, Date*). Title. Accessed: Date. Available at: